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#### Abstract

Analytical methods capable of analyzing huge number of samples in a short time period with good robustness, accuracy and precision without any prior separation step. HPLC method generates large amount of quality data, which serve as highly powerful and convenient analytical tool. Ibuprofen \& Oxaprozin was freely soluble in water and alcohol. Piroxicam was freely soluble in alcohol and sparingly soluble in water. Methanol and potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate ( pH 3 ) was chosen as the mobile phase. The run time of the HPLC procedure was 10 minutes. The method was validated for system suitability, linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, ruggedness robustness, LOD and LOQ. The system suitability parameters were within limit, hence it was concluded that the system was suitable to perform the assay. The method shows linearity between the concentration range of $10-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The \% recovery of Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam were found to be in the range of $99.22 \%-100.11 \%$. As there was no interference due to excipients and mobile phase, the method was found to be specific. The method was robust and rugged as observed from insignificant variation in the results of analysis by changes in Flow rate and Mobile phase composition separately and analysis being performed by different analysts. Good agreement was seen in the assay results of Pharmaceutical formulation by developed method. Hence it can be concluded that the proposed method was a good approach for obtaining reliable results and found to be suitable for the routine analysis of Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam in Bulk drug and Pharmaceutical formulation.
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## 1. Introduction

Drug name: Ibuprofen
lupac name: 2-[4-(2-methylpropyl) phenyl] propanoic acid
Synonyms : Advil, Adran, 4-Isobutylhydratropic acid, (RS)ibuprofen.
Solubility : Soluble in ethanol ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), chloroform (1:1), ether (1:2), acetone (1:1.5), aqueous solutions of alkali hydroxides and carbonates, dichloromethane, methanol ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), and ethyl acetate.
Description: Ibuprofen, a propionic acid derivative, is a prototypical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAIA) with analgesic and antipyretic properties.

| Melting point | $: 75-77.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| CAS NO | $: 15687-27-1$ |
| Structure | $:$ |



Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Molecular weight : Average: 206.2808 Monoisotopic: $206.13067982 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Bioavailability : 87-100\% (oral), 87\% (rectal)
Half-life : 2-4 hours
Protein binding : 90-99\% to whole human plasma and site II of purified albumin, binding appears to be saturable and becomes non-linear at concentrations exceeding $20 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$.
Dosage forms: tablet, solution, injection.
Dose : 200,400,600,800mg. $10,100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} / 5 \mathrm{ml}$
Category : Anti-Inflammatory Agents, Non-Steroidal, Cyclooxygenase Inhibitors, Analgesics, Non-Narcotic.

## Pharmacodynamics:

Ibuprofen is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAIA) or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), with analgesic and antipyretic properties. Ibuprofen has pharmacologic actions similar to those of other prototypical NSAIAs, which are thought to act through inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis ${ }^{1}$.

## Mechanism of Action:

The exact mechanism of action of ibuprofen is unknown. Ibuprofen is a non-selective inhibitor of cyclooxygenase, an enzyme invovled in prostaglandin synthesis via the arachidonic acid pathway. Its pharmacological effects are believed to be due to inhibition cylooxygenase-2 (COX-2) which decreases the synthesis of prostaglandins involved in mediating inflammation, pain, fever and swelling. Antipyretic effects may be due to action on the hypothalamus, resulting in an increased peripheral blood flow, vasodilation, and subsequent heat dissipation.

Inhibition of COX-1 is thought to cause some of the side effects of ibuprofen including GI ulceration.

## Pharmacokinetic Properties:

Absorption: ~ 80\% absorbed from Gl tract, Time to reach peak plasma concentration $=47$ minutes (suspension), 62 minutes (chewable tablets), 120 minutes (conventional tablets)

## Distribution:

lbuprofen, like most drugs of its class, is highly protein bound ( $>99 \%$ bound at $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Protein binding is saturable and at concentrations $>20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ binding is nonlinear. Based on oral dosing data there is an age- or feverrelated change in volume of distribution for ibuprofen. Febrile children $<11$ years old have a volume of approximately $0.2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$ while adults have a volume of approximately $0.12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$. The clinical significance of these findings is unknown.

## Metabolism:

R-enanatiomer undergoes extensive enantiomeric conversion (53-65\%) to the more active S-enantiomer in vivo. Metablized by oxidation to 2 inactive metabolites: (+)-2[4'-(2-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl) phenyl] propionic acid and (+)-2-[4'-(2-carboxypropyl) phenyl] propionic acid. Very small amounts of 1-hydroxyibuprofen and 3hydroxyibuprofen have been recovered from urine. Cytochrome P450 2C9 is the major catalyst in the formation of oxidative metabolites. Oxidative metabolites may be conjugated to glucuronide prior to excretion.

## Elimination:

Ibuprofen is rapidly metabolized and eliminated in the urine. The excretion of ibuprofen is virtually complete 24 hours after the last dose. It has a biphasic plasma elimination time curve with a half-life of approximately 2.0 hours. There is no difference in the observed terminal elimination rate or half-life between children and adults, however, there is an age-or fever-related change in total clearance. This suggests that the observed change in clearance is due to changes in the volume of distribution of ibuprofen ${ }^{2-5}$.

## Adverse Effects:

Nausea, dyspepsia, gastrointestinal ulceration/bleeding, raised liver enzymes, diarrhea, constipation, nosebleed, headache, dizziness, rash, salt and fluid retention, and hypertension. Infrequent adverse effects include: esophageal ulceration, heart failure, hyperkalemia, renal impairment, confusion, and bronchospasm. Ibuprofen can exacerbate asthma, sometimes fatally.
Storage: Store at room temperature
Oxaprozin
IUPAC Name: potassium 3-(4,5-diphenyl-1,3-oxazol-2-yl)
propanoate
Chemical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$
Molecular weight : 331.412
Cas No : 174064-08-5
Category : Analgesics, Non-Narcotic, Anti-
Inflammatory Agents.

## Mechanism of action:

Anti-inflammatory effects of Oxaprozin are believed to be due to inhibition of cylooxygenase in platelets which leads to the blockage of prostaglandin synthesis. Antipyretic effects may be due to action on the hypothalamus, resulting in an increased peripheral blood flow, vasodilation, and subsequent heat dissipation. Oxaprozin is a non-selective NSAID, with a cell assay system showing lower COX-2 selectivity implying higher COX-1 selectivity.


## Brand name: Daypro

## Piroxicam

IUPAC Name: 2-methyl-1, 1-dioxo-3-[(pyridin-2-yl) carbamoyl]-2H-1lambda6,2-benzothiazin-4-yl
(2E)-3-
phenylprop-2-enoate
Chemical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$


Molecular weight: 461.49
Cas No : 90101-16-9
Category: Agents causing hyperkalemia, Agents that produce hypertension.
Mechanism of action: Droxicam is converted to Piroxicam via hydrolysis of the ester group in the intestine 2. Droxicam administration inhibits the synthesis of prostaglandins by cyclooxygenase enzymes.
Brand name: Feldene

## 2. Materials and Methods

## Selection of wavelength

10 mg of Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam was dissolved in mobile phase. The solution was scanned from 200-400 nm the spectrum was obtained. The overlay spectrum was used for selection of wavelength for Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam. The isobestic point was taken as detection wavelength.

## Selection of column

Heart of HPLC made of 316 grade stainless steel packed with stationary phase. Silica based columns with different
cross linking's in the increasing order of polarity are as follows:
Non-polar----------moderately polar--------Polar
$\mathrm{C}_{18}<\mathrm{C}_{8}<\mathrm{C}_{6}<$ Phenyl < Amino <Cyano < Silica

- In reverse phase chromatography, hydrophobic interaction between drug molecule and the alkyl chains on the column packing material.
- Column is selected based on solubility, polarity and chemical differences among analysts and Column selected: i.e Agilent ( $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) $5 \mu$.
- Reasons : Better separation, Good tailing factor.


## Selection of solvent delivery system

- Always preferable solvent delivery system.
- More chance of getting reproducible result on retention time of analytes.
- More economic than gradient technique.


## Selection of flow rate

Acceptable limit: - Not more than $2.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$

- Flow rate selected was $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
- Flow rate is selected based on

1. Retention time
2. Column back pressure
3. Peak symmetry.
4. Separation of impurities.

## Reasons:

- For earlier elution of analyte and elution of all impurities within 6.0 min .
- Information from the reference method in literature.


## Selection of diluent

- Selection of diluent is based on the solubility of the analyte
- Diluent selected: Methanol : phosphate buffer pH 3 (55:45v/v)
Reason: good peak area, retention time, peak symmetry


## Selection of column temperature:

- Preferable temperature is ambient or room temperature.
Reasons:
- To elute all impurities along with analyte with in 10.0 min of run time.
- Less retention time
- Good peak shape
- Higher theoretical plates.
- Good resolution.


## Selection of test concentration and injection volume

Test concentration is finalized after it is proved that API is completely extractable at the selected test concentration.

- Test concentration is fixed based upon the response of API peak at selected detector wavelength.
- And the test concentration selected is 10 ppm .
- Injection volume selected was $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.


## 3. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Chromatogram values for System suitability of Ibuprofen

| Injection | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | Peak Area | USP <br> Plate count | USP <br> Tailing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.405 | 1250763 | 2487 | 1.62 |
| 2 | 2.406 | 1247867 | 2489 | 1.58 |
| 3 | 2.406 | 1255849 | 2496 | 1.64 |
| Mean |  | 1251360 |  |  |
| SD |  | 3850.679 |  |  |
| \% RSD |  | 0.30722 |  |  |

## Acceptance Criteria:

1). Tailing factor Obtained from the standard injection is 1.7
2). Theoretical Plates Obtained from the standard injection is 2496

Table no 2: Chromatogram values for System suitability of Oxaprozin

| Injection | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | Peak Area | USP <br> Plate count | USP <br> Tailing | USP <br> Resolution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2.417 | 940627 | 2271 | 1.52 | 3.02 |
| 2 | 2.418 | 931161 | 2243 | 1.46 | 3.07 |
| 3 | 2.417 | 940306 | 2262 | 1.45 | 3.04 |
| Mean |  | 937364.7 |  |  |  |
| 17SD |  | 5374.93 |  |  |  |
| \% RSD |  | 0.473409 |  |  |  |

Acceptance Criteria:

1) Tailing factor Obtained from the standard injection is 1.51
2) Theoretical Plates Obtained from the standard injection is 2281

Table no-3: Chromatogram values for System suitability: Piroxicam

| Injection | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | Peak Area | USP <br> Plate count | USP <br> Tailing | USP <br> Resolution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 7.087 | 933579 | 2504 | 1.22 | 11.84 |
| 2 | 7.088 | 934565 | 2592 | 1.23 | 12.23 |
| 3 | 7.087 | 920436 | 2564 | 1.24 | 13.14 |
| Mean |  | 929157.7 |  |  |  |
| SD |  | 7597.932 |  |  |  |
| \% RSD |  | 0.827823 |  |  |  |

Acceptance Criteria:

1) Tailing factor Obtained from the standard injection is 1.25
2) Theoretical Plates Obtained from the standard injection is 2594

## Linearity



Fig.No.1. Chromatogram for Linearity level 2
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Table 4: Linearity results for Ibuprofen

| S.No | Linearity Level | Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | I | 10 ppm | 339286 |
| 2 | II | 20 ppm | 667774 |
| 3 | III | 30 ppm | 986474 |
| 4 | IV | 40 ppm | 1339994 |
| 5 | V | 50 ppm | 1639065 |
| Correlation Coefficient |  |  | 0.999 |

Table 5: Linearity results for Piroxicam

| S.No | Linearity Level | Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I | 12.5 | 231737 |
| 2 | II | 25 | 453615 |
| 3 | III | 37.5 | 659796 |
| 4 | IV | 50 | 895191 |
| 5 | V | 62.5 | 1094356 |
| Correlation Coefficient |  |  |  |

Table no-6: Calibration parameters for Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam

| Parameter | Results for Ibuprofen | Results for Oxaprozin | Results for Piroxicam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slope | 18718 | 14315 | 70355 |
| Intercept | 65497 | 49120 | 47086 |
| Correlation co-efficient | 0.9993 | 0.99918 | 0.99902 |

## Acceptance criteria:

1. Correlation Coefficient should be not less than 0.9990 .
2. \% RSD of peak areas for Solution $1,2,3,4$ and 5 should be not more than $2.0 \%$.
3. 



Fig.No.2. Sample Chromatograms for precision injection-1
Table 7: Sample Chromatogram values for Repeatability Ibuprofen

| Injection No | Peak Area | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 935035 | 4.416 |
| 2 | 929353 | 4.417 |
| 3 | 930459 | 4.619 |
| 4 | 932389 | 4.418 |
| 5 | 922057 | 4.417 |
| Avg | 927458.6 |  |
| SD | 4865.16 |  |
| \% RSD | 0.4232 |  |

Table no-8: Chromatogram values for intermediate Precision: Ibuprofen

| Injection No | Peak Area | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 912412 | 4.416 |
| 2 | 913062 | 4.417 |
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| 3 | 909642 | 4.418 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 916881 | 4.419 |
| 5 | 914005 | 4.418 |
| Mean | 913200.4 |  |
| SD | 2621.886 |  |
| \% RSD | 0.387 |  |

Table no-9: Chromatogram values for intermediate Precision: Oxaprozin

| Injection No | Peak Area | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 914922 | 7.086 |
| 2 | 909335 | 7.085 |
| 3 | 913266 | 7.086 |
| 4 | 909418 | 7.087 |
| 5 | 911496 | 7.086 |
| Mean | 911687.4 |  |
| SD | 2432.859 |  |
| \% RSD | 0.4668 |  |

Table no-10: Chromatogram values for intermediate Precision: Piroxicam .

| Sample <br> No. | Spike <br> Level | Amount <br> added(mg) | Amount <br> found(mg) | \% Recovery | Mean \% Recovery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $50 \%$ | 5 | 4.9 | $98 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 5 | 5.1 | $102 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2 | $99.31 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 9.88 | $98.8 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | $99.1 \%$ | $99.89 \%$ |
| 3 | 10 | 9.95 | $99.5 \%$ |  |  |

Table 11: Chromatogram Values for Accuracy of Ibuprofen.

| Sample <br> No. | Accuracy | Amount <br> added(mg) | Amount <br> found(mg) | \% Recovery | Mean \% <br> Recovery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  | 5 | 4.9 | $98 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 5 | 5.1 | $102 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 10 | 9.88 | $98.8 \%$ | $99.13 \%$ |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | $99.1 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 10 | 9.95 | $99.5 \%$ |  |

Table 12: Chromatogram Values for Accuracy of Oxaprozin

| Sample <br> No. | Spike <br> Level | Amount <br> added(mg) | Amount <br> found(mg) | \% Recovery | Mean \% <br> Recovery |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $50 \%$ | 10 | 9.8 | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | $102 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 10 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2 | $100 \%$ | 20 | 19.8 |  |  |
|  |  | 20 | 20.2 | $101 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


|  |  | 30 | 30 | $100 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30 | 29.8 | $99.33 \%$ |  |  |

Table 13: Robustness results for Ibuprofen (flow rate)

| S.No | Drug | Flow Rate $\mathbf{~ m l} / \mathbf{m i n}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 m l} / \mathbf{m i n} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\mathbf{1 m l} \mathbf{m i n} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 . 2} \mathbf{m ~ I / m i n ~} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |
| 1 | Oxaprozin Robustness Results | 2.475 | 2.482 | 2.488 |
| USP Plate count |  | 2279 | 2232 | 2185 |
| USP Tailing |  | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.51 |

Table 14: Robustness results for Oxaprozin (flow rate):

| S.No | Drug |  | Flow Rate $\mathbf{m l} / \mathbf{m i n}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 m l} / \mathbf{m i n}$ <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\mathbf{1 m l} / \mathbf{m i n}$ <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2} \mathbf{~ m ~ I / m i n ~}$ <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |  |
| 1 | Piroxicam <br> Robustness <br> Results | 3.488 |  | 2.877 |  |
| USP Plate count |  | 2346 | 2.190 | 2096 |  |
| USP Tailing |  | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.27 |  |

Table 15: Robustness results for Oxaprozin

| S.No | Drug | Mobile phase |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | More organic <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | Organic <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | Less organic <br> $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |  |
| 1 | Piroxicam | Robustness Results | 7.087 | 7.086 | 7.086 |
| USP Plate count |  | 2482 | 2556 | 2030 |  |
| USP Tailing |  |  | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.32 |

Table no-14: Results of LOD

| Drug name | Standard deviation( $\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ | Slope(s) | LOD $(\boldsymbol{\mu g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ibuprofen | 371877.10 | 563365963 | 2.03 |
| Oxaprozin | 431401.80 | 476884400 | 0.0365 |
| Piroxicam | 287058.10 | 376884400 | 1.84 |

Table no-15: Results of LOQ

| Drug name | Standard deviation( $\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ | Slope(s) | LOQ( $\boldsymbol{\mu g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ibuprofen | 371877.10 | 563365963 | 4.53 |
| Oxaprozin | 431401.80 | 476884400 | 5.75 |
| Piroxicam | 287058.10 | 376884400 | 3.84 |

LOD's can be calculated based on the standard deviation of the response (SD) and the slope of the calibration curve (S) at levels approximating the LOD according to the formula.

## 4. Conclusion

For routine analytical purpose it is desirable to establish methods capable of analyzing huge number of samples in a short time period with good robustness, accuracy and precision without any prior separation step. HPLC method generates large amount of quality data, which serve as highly powerful and convenient analytical tool ${ }^{4-6}$. Ibuprofen \& Oxaprozin was freely soluble in water and alcohol. Piroxicam was freely soluble in alcohol and sparingly soluble in water. Methanol and potassium dihydrogen ortho phosphate ( pH 3 ) was chosen as the mobile phase. The run time of the HPLC procedure was 10
minutes. The method was validated for system suitability, linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, ruggedness robustness, LOD and LOQ. The system suitability parameters were within limit, hence it was concluded that the system was suitable to perform the assay. The method shows linearity between the concentration range of 10$100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The \% recovery of Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam were found to be in the range of 99.22 \% $100.11 \%$. As there was no interference due to excipients and mobile phase, the method was found to be specific. The method was robust and rugged as observed from
insignificant variation in the results of analysis by changes in Flow rate and Mobile phase composition separately and analysis being performed by different analysts. Good agreement was seen in the assay results of Pharmaceutical formulation by developed method ${ }^{7-11}$. Hence it can be concluded that the proposed method was a good approach for obtaining reliable results and found to be suitable for the routine analysis of Ibuprofen, Oxaprozin and Piroxicam in Bulk drug and Pharmaceutical formulation.
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