



**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Assessment of Health Related Quality of Life Indices in Patients on Antidepressant Pharmacotherapy: An Observational Study**

Gudipalli Bhavani Tejaswini<sup>1\*</sup>, B. Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*PG Research Scholar, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Ratnam Institute of Pharmacy, Nellore, A.P.*

<sup>2</sup>*Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Ratnam Institute of Pharmacy, Nellore, A.P.*

**ABSTRACT**

Pharmacotherapy with antidepressants and/ or anti-psychotics helps to relieve depression and improve the mental health and overall quality of life of individuals suffering from this disease. There is sufficient data from clinical trials that show the safety and efficacy of these medications. However there is lack of clear guidelines for prescribing these medications and there is a gap in literature on studies which determine the effect of these medications on the overall wellbeing of individuals. This retrospective, observational study used the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey database. Individuals suffering from depression (ICD-9-CM: 296, 300, and 311) and those taking antidepressants and/or antipsychotics since the beginning of the panel were identified. A total of 804 patients met the study inclusion criteria, among which 688 patients were on monotherapy and 116 on add-on/switch therapy. Among patients only on monotherapy, no significant difference was observed in their tendency to show improvement or decline on PCS-12, K6 and PR-MHS scores based on the class of antidepressants. The results of the study may imply that further research needs to be done to determine the reason for SSRIs to show greater improvement on mental health as compared to SNRIs. Similar results in patients on monotherapy and add-on/switch therapy can suggest that their therapy may keep depressive symptoms under control, which can indicate a good clinical decision by the patients' health care providers.

**Keywords:** Pharmacotherapy, clinical trials, PR-MHS, ICD-9-CM, PR-MHS, Antidepressant

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Corresponding Author**

Gudipalli Bhavani Tejaswini

PG Research Scholar,

Department of Pharmacy Practice,

Ratnam Institute of Pharmacy, Nellore, A.P.



**ARTICLE HISTORY:** Received 26 December 2021, Accepted 29 Jan 2022, Published Online 22 March 2022

©2022 Production and hosting by World Journal of Pharmacy and Biotechnology. All rights reserved.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Citation:** Gudipalli Bhavani Tejaswini, et al. Assessment of Health Related Quality of Life Indices in Patients on Antidepressant Pharmacotherapy: An Observational Study, 2022, 10(1): 5-10.

**CONTENTS**

1. Introduction. . . . .	.06
2. Methodology. . . . .	.06
3. Results and Discussion. . . . .	.07
4. Conclusion. . . . .	.09
5. References. . . . .	.09

## 1. Introduction

Depression is a mental illness that can be both debilitating and costly to sufferers. It can adversely affect the course and outcome of common chronic conditions, such as asthma, cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, arthritis and obesity.<sup>1</sup> Depression is associated with decrease in functioning and well-being of an individual and increase in number of disability days, utilization of healthcare services and cost.<sup>2-4</sup> Diagnosis and treatment of depression has increased over the past few years among both men and women. A total of \$ 22.8 billion was spent to treat depression in the year 2020 as compared to \$18.0 billion in the year 2010.

Treatment options for depression include medication, primarily antidepressants, psychotherapy which includes cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), interpersonal therapy (IPT) and electroconvulsive therapy. Most common treatments are medications and psychotherapy.<sup>8</sup> This paper will focus on medications, chiefly antidepressants and other atypical antipsychotics which are used for treating depression. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, during the last 20 years the use of antidepressants has grown significantly making them one of the most costly and the third most commonly prescribed class of medications across the globe.<sup>9</sup> Several different classes of antidepressants are available for treating depression. These include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs).

This study also assessed the mental health as patient-reported mental health status (PR-MHS) and psychological distress score in individuals suffering from depression. Patients with depressive disorder tend to have worse physical and mental health, role functioning and perceived current health as compared to patients having no chronic conditions.

Also, most participants in clinical trials are recruited by advertisement rather than from representative practices, and they are often selected to have few comorbid disorders, either medical or psychiatric. Furthermore the protocols used in these trials do not represent usual real world clinical practice. There is sufficient data from clinical trials that show the safety and efficacy of these medications. However unlike many other chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, etc there is a lack of clear guidelines for prescribing medications for depression.

## 2. Methodology

### *Identification of patients with depression*

Individuals having depression were identified using the MEPS HC medical conditions file. This file contains information on observation of each self-reported medical conditions that a MEPS respondent experienced during the data collection year. The participants are asked to report the medical condition that they experienced during the last four

to five months since the previous interview in each round of interviews. Medical conditions reported by participants were recorded by interviewers as verbatim text, and were coded by professional coders to fully specified three digits ICD-9-CM codes.<sup>112</sup> According to AHRQ, conditions with ICD-9 codes 296, 300 and 311 were classified as depression.<sup>7</sup> These three ICD-9 codes were used to identify patients with depression.

### *Medications used to treat depression*

Patients taking antidepressants and those who were concomitant users of atypical antipsychotics were identified using the Prescribed Medicines Files. In this study, first the psychotherapeutic agents were identified using the therapeutic classification variable number 242(TC1), which is one of the Multum Lexicon Drug Database variables.<sup>113</sup> The therapeutic sub-classification variable (TC1S1) number 249 and 251 were then used to identify antidepressants and antipsychotics respectively. Furthermore the therapeutic sub- sub classification variable (TC1S1\_1) number 76(miscellaneous antidepressants), 208 (SSRI antidepressants), 209(tricyclic antidepressants), 306 (phenyl piperazine antidepressants), 307(tetracyclic antidepressants) and 308 (SNRI antidepressants) were used to identify specific classes of antidepressants. Only those patients who were taking antidepressants and/or AAPs since the beginning of a panel were included in the study (using RXBEGYRX variable).

Patients starting medications in the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> round of a panel were also excluded (using PURCHRD and RXBEGMM variable) as their HRQOL, PRMHS and K6 scores were seen in rounds 2 and 4. The drugs that were classified as antidepressants included citalopram, escitalopram, amitriptyline, clomipramine, desipramine, amoxapine, bupropion, doxepine, venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, paroxetine, imipramine, trimipramine, trazodone, tranlycypromine, sertraline, protriptyline, phenelzine, nortriptyline, nefazodone, mirtazapine, maprotiline, isocarboxazid, fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, doxepin, and desipramine. AAPs included ziprasidone, quetiapine, risperidone, olanzapine and aripiprazole as they have been approved by the FDA for treatment of major depressive disorder or supported with evidence.

### **Inclusion-Exclusion Criteria**

#### *Inclusion criteria*

All respondents identified with depression in the 2019-2021 MEPS database files, above the age of 18 years and taking one or more antidepressants and/or antipsychotics were included in the study. Only those respondents who started taking antidepressants and/or AAPs since the beginning of the panel were included in the study.

#### *Exclusion criteria*

Patients who purchased medications in the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> round of a panel for the first time were excluded. Patients

taking AAPs alone were excluded, as they are generally prescribed as monotherapy in patients with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Respondents with missing responses on either of the questions of SF-12, K6 and PR-MHS were also excluded.

**Health –related quality of life (HRQOL):** HRQOL of MEPS participants have been assessed by AHRQ using the Short Form Health Survey-12 version two (SF-12v2). It has two component summary scales, namely the Physical Component Summary (PCS-12) and Mental Component Summary (MCS-12) and their scores range from 0 to 100 where a higher score is indicative of a better HRQOL.

#### **Psychological distress measure**

The Kessler Index (K6) scores measure the individuals' non-specific psychological distress in the past 30 days. The scores are based on six mental health related questions (refer Appendix B) that measure the individuals' nervousness, hopelessness, sadness, restlessness, worthlessness, and effortlessness in the past 30 days on a

scale of 0 to 4, with 0 being none of the time and 4 being all the time. The values on all these questions give the overall K6 scores. In the present study, the frame work depicts the relationship between depression characteristics, mainly the type of pharmacotherapy and patient-reported outcomes such as HRQOL, PR-MHS and non-specific psychological distress. This model is based on Pearlin's Stress Process Model and the "Biopsychosocial" model of health.

#### **Data analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the population according to their socio-demographic characteristics. The characteristics of patients taking different classes of medications and those who are on monotherapy, combination therapy and those who switch from monotherapy to combination therapy were analyzed for differences using t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. All statistical values were considered significant at a level of significance of p 0.05.

### **3. Results and Discussion**

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study population**

Selected Characteristics	N=804(%)	
<b>Gender</b>		
Males	225(27.99)	
<b>Age</b>		
18-45	376(46.77)	
45-64	322(40.05)	
>64	106(13.18)	
<b>Race</b>		
White	487(60.57)	
African American	75(9.33)	
Other	242(30.10)	
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Hispanic	123(15.30)	
<b>Education Level</b>		
Less than high school	139(17.29)	
High School	300(37.31)	
More than high school	365(45.50)	
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Married	351(43.66)	
Divorced, widowed, separated	263(32.71)	
Never married	190(23.63)	
<b>Person's total income</b>		
No income	83(10.32)	
Less than \$25,000	429(53.36)	
\$25000-\$50,000	181(22.51)	
>\$50,000	111(13.81)	
<b>Employment Status</b>		
Employed	406(50.37)	
<b>Insurance</b>		
Any private	443(55.10)	

Public only	268(33.33)	
Uninsured	93(11.57)	
<b>Prescription drug insurance coverage</b>		
Yes	363(45.15)	

**Table 2: Patient characteristics stratified by type of pharmacotherapy (Monotherapy or Add-on/Switch therapy)**

Selected Characteristics	Monotherapy (N=688)	Add on/Switch therapy(N=116)	P value
	N(%)	N(%)	
<b>Gender</b>			
Males	195 (28.34)	30(25.86)	0.58199
<b>Age</b>			
18-45	316 (45.93)	60(51.72)	0.23
45-64	276(40.12)	46(39.66)	94
>64	96(13.95)	10(8.62)	
<b>Race</b>			
White	422(61.34)	65(56.03)	0.42
African American	61(8.87)	14(12.07)	76
Other	205(29.80)	37(31.90)	
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
Hispanic	106(15.41)	17(14.66)	0.8352
<b>Education Level</b>			
Less than high school	121(17.59)	18(15.52)	0.76
High School	258(37.50)	42(36.21)	42
More than high school	309(44.91)	56(48.28)	
<b>Marital Status</b>			
Married	314(45.64)	37(31.90)	<b>0.00</b>
Divorced, widowed, separated	224(32.56)	39(33.62)	<b>39</b>
Never married	150(21.80)	40(34.48)	
<b>Person's total income</b>			
No income	64(9.30)	19(16.38)	<b>0.04</b>
Less than \$25,000	364(52.91)	65(56.03)	<b>13</b>
\$25000-\$50,000	163(23.69)	18(15.52)	
>\$50,000	97(14.10)	14(12.07)	
<b>Employment Status</b>			
Employed	368(53.49)	37(31.90)	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
<b>Insurance</b>			
Any private	391(56.83)	52(44.83)	0.05
Public only	220(31.98)	48(41.38)	48
Uninsured	77(11.19)	16(13.79)	
<b>Prescription drug insurance</b>			

**Table 3: Percentage of individuals showing change in SF- 12, K6 and PR-MHS scores based on monotherapy and add on/switch therapy**

Category	Monotherapy			Add on/Switch therapy		
	Improve N(%)	Unchanged N(%)	Decline N(%)	Improve N(%)	Unchanged N(%)	Decline N(%)
<b>SF-12:PCS</b>	128(18.60)	399(57.99)	161(23.40)	23(19.83)	61(52.59)	32(27.59)
<b>SF-12:MCS</b>	213(30.96)	308(44.77)	167(24.27)	37(31.90)	52(44.83)	27(23.28)

<b>PR-MHS</b>	139(20.20)	436(63.37)	113(16.42)	22(18.97)	73(62.93)	21(18.10)
<b>K6 scores</b>	150 (21.80)	415(60.32)	123(17.88)	31(26.72)	66(56.90)	19(16.38)

**Table 4: Percentage of individuals on monotherapy showing change in SF- 12, K6 and PR- MHS scores based on the class of antidepressants prescribed.**

Category	SSRIs (N=421)			TCAs(N=40)			SNRIs(N=109)			Other Antidepressants(N=118)		
	Improve N (%)	Remains same N (%)	Decline N (%)	Improve N (%)	Remains same N (%)	Decline N (%)	Improve N (%)	Remains same N (%)	Decline N (%)	Improve N (%)	Remains same N (%)	Decline N (%)
<b>SF-12:PCS</b>	71 (16.86)	256 (60.81)	94 (22.33)	13 (32.50)	19 (47.50)	8 (20.00)	24 (22.02)	59 (54.13)	26 (23.85)	20 (16.95)	65 (55.08)	33 (27.97)
<b>SF-12:MCS</b>	150 (35.62)	195 (46.32)	76 (18.05)	15 (37.50)	14 (35.00)	11 (27.50)	25 (22.94)	50 (45.87)	34 (31.19)	40 (33.90)	49 (41.53)	29 (24.58)
<b>PR-MHS</b>	91 (21.62)	260 (61.76)	70 (16.63)	9 (22.50)	24 (60.00)	7 (17.50)	18 (16.51)	76 (69.72)	15 (13.76)	21 (17.80)	76 (64.41)	21 (17.80)
<b>K6 scores</b>	93 (22.09)	256 (60.81)	72 (17.10)	12 (30.00)	22 (55.00)	6 (15.00)	23 (21.10)	64 (58.72)	22 (20.18)	22 (18.64)	73 (61.86)	23 (19.49)

### Discussion

This chapter discusses the findings of this study, its implications, limitations and future research. Patients with depressive disorder tend to have worse physical, social, mental health and role functioning as compared to patients having no chronic conditions. After the Medical Outcomes Study, the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) should be the ultimate measure of any kind of intervention in the treatment of depression, our sample was characterized by 72% women which corroborates with the findings of other studies that show that women are more likely to experience depression than males. An average of 47% of patients fell within the age category of 18-45 years. This may be because the average age of onset of depression is at the age of 32. This study is unique as it is one of the few studies that evaluated the effect of various classes of medications used to treat depression in patients on monotherapy alone and in patients who are on monotherapy and add on/ switch therapy on HRQOL and mental health. Moreover, this study has a longitudinal design in contrast to most other studies that are cross sectional in nature. Assessing the above mentioned outcomes in patients only on monotherapy was chosen as a standalone objective as most patients with depression begin therapy with a single antidepressant and resort to augmenting or combining medications if they show partial or no remission. In addition to this, the current study showed similar results for patients on monotherapy versus those on add-on/switch therapy on all the three outcome variables. This may be because outcomes of patients who were on antidepressants since the beginning of the panel in MEPS were evaluated at two different time points. Even though the present study shows no significant difference in improvement in any of the outcome measures among patients on monotherapy and add-on/switch therapy, it can be implied that both the single antidepressant therapy as well as combining antidepressants may provide remission from depression which in turn may maintain the HRQOL and mental health of individuals. None of the

groups were found to show significant decline in any of the outcome measures, which may indicate appropriate clinical judgment on the part of the healthcare providers.

### 4. Conclusion

This retrospective, observational study carried to evolve a consensus on health related quality of life and reduction of psychological distress among the represented subjects has showed a significant association between co- morbidities and HRQOL. Individuals with greater depressive symptoms report more frequent negative social interactions. Patients reporting that their disease state often stops them from having social interactions could be having more severe depression and could be having worse scores in round two of the panel. Further, the pharmacotherapy used to control depression may be working which could result in them reporting better scores in round 4 of MEPS. No causal relationship can be inferred based on the sole findings of this study due to the limitation of the MEPS being a panel design. Hence baseline scores of patients on HRQOL, PR-MHS and K6 could not be considered in the study. Also, in the add-on/switch therapy group we could not distinguish between patients concomitantly using antidepressants or AAPs and those who switch therapies.

### 5. References

- [1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011) MAEiUSAR, Depression. Retrieved August 22, from <http://www.cdc.gov/Features/dsDepression>.
- [2] Wells KB, Stewart, A., Hays, R.D. et al., 1989. The functioning and well-being of depressed patients: results from the Medical Outcomes Study. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 262, 916–919.
- [3] Broadhead EW, Blazer, D.G., George, L.K. et al., 1990. Depression, disability days and days lost from work in a prospective epidemiologic survey. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 264, 2524–2528.

- [4] Ormel J, Von Korff, M., Ustun, B. et al., 1994. Common mental disorders and disability across cultures: results from the WHO collaborative study on psychological problems in general health care. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 272, 1741–1748.
- [5] Murray CJ, LAGM, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet.* 1997; 349:1436–1442.
- [6] World Health Organization. The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update. Geneva SWP, 2008.
- [7] Soni, A. Trends in Use and Expenditures for Depression among U.S. Adults Age 18 and Older, Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 1999 and 2009. Statistical Brief #377. July 2012. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Rockville, MD. [http://www.meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/data\\_files/publications/st377/stat377.pdf](http://www.meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/data_files/publications/st377/stat377.pdf).
- [8] Cramer JA, Rosenheck R. Compliance with medication regimens for mental and physical disorders. *Psychiatric Services.* 1998;49(2):196–201.
- [9] National Center for Health Statistics. Health US, 2010: With, special feature on death and dying. Table 95. Hyattsville M.
- [10] Pratt LA, BD, Gu Q. Antidepressant use in persons aged 12 and over: United States, 2005– 2008. NCHS data brief, no 76. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2011.
- [11] Stark P, Hardison, C.D., 1985. A review of multicenter controlled studies of fluoxetine vs imipramine and placebo in outpatients with major depressive disorder. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 46,(3 (Sect. 2))53–58.
- [12] Song F, Freemantle, N., Sheldon, T. et al., 1993. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: meta-analysis of efficacy and acceptability. *Br. Med. J.* 306,683–687.
- [13] Workman E, Short, D., 1993. Atypical antidepressants versus imipramine in the treatment of major depression. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 54,5–12.
- [14] Rickels S, Schweizer, E., Clary, C. et al., 1994. Nefazodone and imipramine in major depression: a placebo-controlled trial. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 164,802–805.
- [15] Mendels J, Reimherr, F., Marcus, R.N et al., 1995. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of two dose ranges of nefazodone in the treatment of depressed outpatients. *J. Clin Psychiatry* 56,(6 Suppl.) 30–36.
- [16] Preskorn SH, 1995. Comparison of the tolerability of bupropion, fluoxetine, imipramine, nefazodone, paroxetine, sertraline, and venlafaxine. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 56,(Suppl.)12–21.
- [17] Montgomery S HJ, McDonald G, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: meta-analysis of discontinuation rates. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 1994, 9:47–53.
- [18] Simon GE, Von Korff, M., Heiligenstein, J.H. et al., 1996. Initial antidepressant choice in primary care: effectiveness and cost of fluoxetine vs tricyclic antidepressants. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 275,1897–1902.
- [19] Shelton RC, Tolleson GD, Tohen M, et al. A novel augmentation strategy for treating resistant major depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry.* 2001; 158(1):131–134.
- [20] Rush AJ, Kraemer HC, Sackeim HA, et al. Report by the ACNP Task Force on response and remission in major depressive disorder. *Neuro psychopharmacology.* 2006, 31(9):1841–1853.